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About this EPD

This is a cradle-to-gate environmental product declaration (EPD) for portland (Type I/II and Type III), blended (Type IL) and masonry as well as soil stabilizing cements as produced by Alamo Cement Company at its San Antonio, TX plant. The results of the underlying LCA are computed with the North American (N.A.) version of the Global Cement and Concrete Association (GCCA) Industry EPD tool for cement and concrete [1]. This tool and the underlying LCA model and database [2] have been previously verified to conform to the prevailing sub-product category rule (PCR) [3], ISO 21930:2017 (the core PCR) [4] as well as ISO 14020:2000 [5] and ISO 14040/44:2006 LCA standards [7], [8].

This EPD is certified by ASTM to conform to the Sub-Product Category Rule (PCR) referenced above, as well as to the requirements of ISO 14020, ISO 14025 [6], ISO 21930 and ASTM International’s General Program Instructions [9]. This EPD is intended for business-to-business audiences.

General Summary

EPD Commissioner and Owner
Alamo Cement Company
6055 West Green Mountain Road
San Antonio, TX 78266
http://www.alamocement.com

The Alamo Cement plant provided both LCI and meta-data for limestone extraction, clinker production and cement manufacture for reference year 2019. Alamo Cement Company also completed the LCA modeling within the GCCA EPD tool. The owner of the declaration is liable for the underlying information and evidence.

Product Group and Name
Cement, UN CPC 3744.

Product Definition

Portland cement is defined as a hydraulic cement produced by pulverizing clinker, consisting essentially of crystalline hydraulic calcium silicates, and usually containing one or more of the following: calcium sulfate, up to 5% limestone, and processing additions (NSF PCR 2021 [10], ASTM C150 [11]).

Portland Cement Type I—For use when the special properties specified for any other type are not required.
Portland Cement Type II—For general use, more especially when moderate sulfate resistance is desired.
Portland Cement Type III—For use when high early strength is desired.
Some cements are designated with a combined type classification, such as Type I/II, indicating that the cement meets the requirements of the indicated types and is being offered as suitable for use when either type is desired.

Blended cement is a hydraulic cement consisting of two or more inorganic constituents (at least one of which is not Portland cement or Portland cement clinker) which separately or in combination contribute to the strength gaining properties of the cement, (made with or without other constituents, processing additions and functional additions, by intergrinding or other blending).
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- Type IL (ASTM C595[13]) — is a Portland-limestone cement and is a hydraulic cement in which the limestone content is more than 5% but less than or equal to 15% by mass of the blended cement.

**Masonry cement** is hydraulic cement manufactured for use in mortars for masonry construction or in plasters, or both, which contains a plasticizing material and, possibly, other performance-enhancing addition(s). Mortar cements are produced in Type N, Type S, and Type M classifications for use in preparation of ASTM Specification C91[12].


Date of Issue & Validity Period: 29/10/2021 – 5 years

Declared Unit: 1 metric ton of cement and 1 short ton of cement

### EPD and Project Report Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Operator</th>
<th>ASTM International</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declaration Number</td>
<td>EPD 265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration Type</td>
<td>Cradle-to-gate (modules A1 to A3). Facility and product-specific.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable Countries</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Applicability</td>
<td>Portland cement is the basic ingredient of concrete. Concrete, one of the most widely used construction materials in the world, is formed when Portland cement creates a paste with water that binds with sand and rock to harden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of the Declaration</td>
<td>This declaration follows Section 9; Content of an EPD, NSF International, Product Category Rules for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Portland, Blended Hydraulic, Masonry, Mortar, and Plastic (Stucco) Cements, V3.2, September 2020 [3].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| This EPD was independently verified by ASTM in accordance with ISO 14025 and the reference PCR: | Tim Brooke  
ASTM International  
100 Barr Harbor Drive  
West Conshohocken  
PA 19428-2959, USA  
cert@astm.org  
Thomas P. Gloria, Ph. D.  
Industrial Ecology Consultants  
35 Bracebridge Rd.  
Newton, MA |
| Notes | The EPD results reported herein are computed using the N.A. GCCA Industry EPD tool for Cement and Concrete (https://concrete-epd-tool.org). |
| EPD Prepared by: | Athena Sustainable Materials Institute  
280 Albert Street, Suite 404  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1P 5G8 |
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info@athenasmi.org
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PCR Information

Program Operator: NSF International


PCR review was conducted by: Thomas P. Gloria, PhD (Chair), Industrial Ecology Consultants, Mr. Jack Geibig, EcoForm, Mr. Bill Stough, Sustainable Research Group

Alamo Cement & Production Facility

Headquartered in the San Antonio metropolitan area, Alamo Cement Company (a subsidiary of Buzzi Unicem SPA) has been the leader in producing 1.1 million tons of high-quality cements for the Central, Gulf Coast and South Texas Markets annually. Alamo earns its trademarked mission statement “The Reliable Brand Since 1880” every day.

Facility Name: San Antonio Cement Plant
Alamo Cement Company
6055 West Green Mountain Road
San Antonio, TX 78266

Product Description

This EPD reports environmental transparency information for five cement types produced by Alamo Cement Company at its San Antonio, TX plant. Cements are hydraulic binders and are manufactured by grinding cement clinker and other main or minor constituents into a finely ground, usually grey colored mineral powder. When mixed with water, cement acts as a glue to bind together the sand, gravel or crushed stone to form concrete, one of the most durable, resilient and widely used construction materials in the world. The Table below sets out each cement type constituents and applicable standards. Cements are sold in bulk as well as packaged.

Products and Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Portland Type I/II ASTM C150</th>
<th>Portland Type III ASTM C150</th>
<th>Blended Type IL ASTM C595</th>
<th>Masonry ASTM C91</th>
<th>Soil Stabilizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinker</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Applicable Standards:
ASTM C91 – Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry

Declared Unit

The declared unit is one metric ton of cement. Results per short ton of cement are also provided.

System Boundary

This is a cradle-to-gate EPD covering the production stage (A1-A3) as depicted in the figure below. The production stage includes extraction of raw materials (cradle) through the manufacture of cements ready for shipment (gate). The San Antonio cement plant sources its limestone supply from an adjacent quarry.

Items excluded from the system boundary include:

- Production, manufacture, and construction of manufacturing capital goods and infrastructure
- Production and manufacture of production equipment, delivery vehicles, and laboratory equipment
- Personnel-related activities (travel, furniture, and office supplies)
- Energy and water use related to company management and sales activities that may be located either within the factory site or at another location

Cut-off Criteria
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The cut-off criteria as per NSF PCR, Section 7.1.8 [3] and ISO 21930, 7.1.8 [4] were followed. Per ISO 21930, 7.1.8, all input/output data required were collected and included in the LCI modelling. No substances with hazardous and toxic properties that pose a concern for human health and/or the environment were identified in the framework of this EPD.

Data Collection
Gate-to-gate input/output flow data were collected for the following processes for the reference year 2019:
- Limestone quarry operations, clinker production and cement manufacture.

Allocation Rules
Allocation of inventory flows and subsequently environmental impact is relevant when assets are shared between product systems. The allocation method prescribed by the PCR [3] is applied in the underlying LCA model. The sub-category PCR recognizes fly ash, furnace bottom ash, bypass dust, mill scale, polluted soils, spent catalyst, aluminum oxide waste, silica fume, granulated blast furnace slag, iron rich waste, cement kiln dust (CKD), flue gas desulfurization (FGD) gypsum, calcium fluoride rich waste and postconsumer gypsum as recovered materials and thus, the environmental impacts allocated to these materials are limited to the treatment and transportation required to use as a cement material input. Further, used tires, plastics, solvents, used oil and oily waste, coal/carbon waste, roofing asphalt, household refuse-derived waste, non-hazardous liquid waste, industrial sludge, and agricultural waste are considered non-renewable and/or renewable secondary fuels. Only the materials, water, energy, emissions, and other elemental flows associated with reprocessing, handling, sorting and transportation from the point of the generating industrial process to their use in the production process are considered. All emissions from combustion at the point of use are considered. For co-products, no credit is considered, and no allocation is applied. See the LCA model and LCA database reports of the N.A. version of GCCA’s Industry Tool for EPDs of cement and concrete for more information [1 & 2].

Data Quality Requirements and Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Quality Requirements</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology Coverage</td>
<td>Data represents the prevailing technology in use at the San Antonio facility. Whenever available, for all upstream and core material and processes, North American typical or average industry LCI datasets were utilized. The San Antonio plant utilizes a dry kiln with preheater and precalciner technology. Technological representativeness is characterized as &quot;high&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Coverage</td>
<td>The geographic region considered is U.S. Geographical representativeness is characterized as &quot;high&quot;.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An Environmental Product Declaration
In accordance with ISO 14025 and 21930

Time Coverage
Activity (primary) data are representative of 2019 calendar year (12 months).
- San Antonio limestone extraction,
- San Antonio clinker production,
- San Antonio cement manufacturing,
- In-bound/out-bound transportation data - primary data collected for quarry site and cement manufacturing plant.

Electricity resource mix is specific to the plant’s power provider (2020) with the following make-up: 22% coal, 32% natural gas, 29% nuclear, 15% wind, and 2% solar.

Temporal representativeness is characterized as “high”.

Completeness
All relevant, specific processes, including inputs (raw materials, energy and ancillary materials) and outputs (emissions and production volume) were considered and modeled in the GCCA Tool to complete production profile for Alamo Cement Company cement products. The San Antonio cement plant operates a continuous emissions monitoring system and reports emissions to the US EPA and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). These data for 2019 were drawn on in the completion of this EPD. The completeness of the foreground process chain in terms of process steps is rigorously assessed.

Consistency
To ensure consistency, cross checks of the energy demand and the calculated raw meal to clinker ratio against ranges reported in the WBCSD Cement Sustainability Initiative, Cement CO2 and Energy Protocol, v3.1 December, 2013 were conducted [15]. The LCA team conducted mass and energy balances at the facility level and selected process levels to maintain a high level of consistency.

Reproducibility
External reproducibility is not possible as the source LCI data and subsequent LCA background reports are confidential.

Transparency
Activity datasets are disclosed in the project LCI compilation, and the background reports generated by the GCCA Tool.

Uncertainty
A sensitivity check was conducted relative to the PCA industry average [16]. The variation across significant inputs were found to be well within the expected range and hence, there is high degree of confidence in the results.

Life Cycle Impact Assessment Results: San Antonio Plant

This section summarizes the production stage life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) results including resource use and waste generated metrics based on the cradle-to-gate life cycle inventory inputs and outputs analysis. The results are calculated based on 1 metric ton of each cement type as produced at the San Antonio plant. Appended to this report are results per short ton of each cement type.

It should be noted that LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks [4], [8]. Further, many LCA impact categories and inventory items are still emerging or under development and can have high levels of uncertainty that preclude international acceptance pending further development. Use caution when interpreting results for these categories – identified with an “*” [3].

Only EPDs prepared from cradle-to-grave life-cycle results and based on the same function, quantified by the same functional unit, and taking account of replacement based on the product reference service life (RSL) relative to an assumed building service life, can be used to assist purchasers and users in making informed comparisons between products [3]. Environmental declarations from different programs may not be comparable [6]. EPDs are comparable only if they comply with ISO 21930, use the same, sub-
category PCR where applicable, include all relevant information modules and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works [3&4].

**Production stage EPD Results – per metric ton**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact category and inventory indicators</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Portland Type I/II ASTM C150</th>
<th>Portland Type III ASTM C150</th>
<th>Blended Type IL ASTM C595</th>
<th>Masonry ASTM C91</th>
<th>Soil Stabilizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global warming potential, GWP 100, AR5</td>
<td>kg CO2 eq</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone depletion potential, ODP</td>
<td>kg CFC-11 eq</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
<td>3.2E-05</td>
<td>2.6E-05</td>
<td>2.4E-05</td>
<td>2.5E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smog formation potential, SFP</td>
<td>kg O3 eq</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification potential, AP</td>
<td>kg SO2 eq</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication potential, EP</td>
<td>kg N eq</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil mineral resources, ADP elements*</td>
<td>kg Sb eq</td>
<td>1.9E-04</td>
<td>2.2E-04</td>
<td>1.6E-04</td>
<td>1.8E-04</td>
<td>1.6E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources, ADP fossil*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), RPRE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPRM*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPRE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>2788</td>
<td>3357</td>
<td>2586</td>
<td>2522</td>
<td>2480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPRM*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary materials, SM*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable secondary fuels, RSF *</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable secondary fuels, NRSF *</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered energy, RE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of freshwater, FW*</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste disposed, HWD*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-hazardous waste disposed, NHWD *</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, HLRW*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>x)</td>
<td>x)</td>
<td>x)</td>
<td>x)</td>
<td>x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, ILLRW* | kg | x\(^i\) | x\(^j\) | x\(^k\) | x\(^l\) | x\(^m\) |
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
Components for re-use, CRU* | kg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
Materials for recycling, MFR* | kg | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
Materials for energy recovery, MER* | kg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
Recovered energy exported from the product system, EE* | MJ LHV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Additional Inventory Parameters for Transparency**

| Parameter | Unit | Value \(x\) | Value \(y\) | Value \(z\) | Value \(w\) | Value \(v\) |
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
Global warming potential - biogenic, GWPbio* | kg CO₂ eq | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
Emissions from calcination* | kg CO₂ eq | 468 | 487 | 450 | 311 | 398 |
Emissions from combustion of waste from renewable sources* | kg CO₂ eq | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
Emissions from combustion of waste from non-renewable sources* | kg CO₂ eq | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table Notes:

- \(x\) – The GCCA EPD Tool does not support these indicators.
- * Use caution when interpreting results for these categories.

**LCA Interpretation**

The Manufacturing module (A3) drives most of the potential environmental impacts. Manufacturing impacts are primarily driven by energy use (electricity and thermal fuels) during the pyroprocessing of limestone in the production of clinker. Clinker content in cement similarly defines the relative environmental profile of the final cement product. Raw material extraction (A1) is the second largest contributor to the Production stage EPD results, followed by transportation (A2).

**Additional Environmental Information**

*Environmental Protection and Equipment*

Alamo Cement Company began producing cement at the current location in 1981. The kiln was upgraded in 2004 to improve efficiency. The plant participates in several programs aimed at reducing their environmental footprint including:

- an extensive recycling program,
- the TERP program to replace quarry equipment with TIER4 engines, which significantly reduces their NOx emissions,
- as well as, other project to improve/reduce energy consumption.

The plant has been a recipient of the EPA Energy Star Award for eight consecutive years starting in 2012 through 2019.
4. ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works - Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.
5. ISO 14020:2000 Environmental labels and declarations — General principles
6. ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labeling and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures.
10. NSF International, Product Category Rule Environmental Product Declarations, PCR for Concrete, V2.1, August 2021.
12. ASTM C91 - Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry
Annex A: Production stage EPD Results – per short ton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact category and inventory indicators</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Portland Type I/II ASTM C150</th>
<th>Portland Type III ASTM C150</th>
<th>Blended Type IL ASTM C595</th>
<th>Masonry ASTM C91</th>
<th>Soil Stabilizing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global warming potential, GWP 100, AR5</td>
<td>kg CO2 eq</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone depletion potential, ODP</td>
<td>kg CFC-11 eq</td>
<td>2.7E-05</td>
<td>2.9E-05</td>
<td>2.4E-05</td>
<td>2.1E-05</td>
<td>2.3E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smog formation potential, SFP</td>
<td>kg O3 eq</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification potential, AP</td>
<td>kg SO2 eq</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication potential, EP</td>
<td>kg N eq</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil mineral resources, ADP elements*</td>
<td>kg Sb eq</td>
<td>1.7E-04</td>
<td>2.0E-04</td>
<td>1.4E-04</td>
<td>1.7E-04</td>
<td>1.4E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources, ADP fossil*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), RPRE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPRM*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPRE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td>3046</td>
<td>2346</td>
<td>2288</td>
<td>2249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPRM*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary materials, SM*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable secondary fuels, RSF *</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable secondary fuels, NRSF *</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered energy, RE*</td>
<td>MJ LHV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of freshwater, FW*</td>
<td>m3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste disposed, HWD*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-hazardous waste disposed, NHWD *</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, HLRW*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, ILLRW*</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x')</td>
<td>x'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Components for re-use, CRU*

|     | kg | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

### Materials for recycling, MFR*

|     | kg  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

### Materials for energy recovery, MER*

|     | kg  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |

### Recovered energy exported from the product system, EE*

|     | MJ LHV | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |

### Additional Inventory Parameters for Transparency

#### Global warming potential - biogenic, GWPbio*

|     | kg CO₂ eq | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 |

#### Emissions from calcination*

|     | kg CO₂ eq | 424  | 442  | 408  | 283  | 361  |

#### Emissions from combustion of waste from renewable sources*

|     | kg CO₂ eq | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |

#### Emissions from combustion of waste from non-renewable sources*

|     | kg CO₂ eq | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |

Table Notes:

1) The GCCA EPD Tool does not support these indicators.

* Use caution when interpreting results for these categories.